



Title: The MAGA Parrot 04

Client: HMP/LCVVF

Length: :30 TV

Video:	Audio:	Backup:
Parrot, Politician .	[Voiceover]:  <b>Parrots and            Politicians...</b>	
Text boxes appear on Parrot and Politician , they say "repeat"	<b>They don't really            speak for            themselves...            they just repeat.</b>	<p><b><u>Anderson Is A Through And Through "Trump Conservative" Who Proudly Said Publicly He Voted For Trump Twice, Bragged About Having Trump's "Back," And Bragged He Was The Only Candidate In Race That Could "Honestly Say" That He Served In The Trump Administration</u></b></p> <p><b>Anderson's TV Ad Described Himself As A "Trump Conservative."</b> "She's hardly alone. Two other Republicans in the field, state Sen. Bryce Reeves and Army veteran Derrick Anderson, a self-described 'Trump conservative,' have made the former president a focus of recent TV ads." [NPR, <a href="#">6/21/22</a>]</p> <p><b>Anderson: "It's Time For Strong Leadership - Donald Trump Is That Leader. He's The Guy To Make The Hard, Necessary Changes To Make Our Country Better."</b> "Congratulations to Donald Trump on winning the Virginia primary and so many others. It's clear that Joe Biden isn't fit to take on all the challenges America faces. In fact, his disastrous policies on the border, economy, and crime have made our lives much worse. It's time for strong leadership - Donald Trump is that leader. He's the guy to make the hard, necessary changes to make our country better." [Derrick Anderson on Twitter, <a href="#">3/5/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Anderson Said He Voted For Trump Twice.</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on the John Fredericks Show, JOHN FREDERICKS: Likely Trump announces for president after the midterms, sometime maybe January. The day he announces are you going to give him your endorsement or wait and see how the field was to unfold? DERRICK ANDERSON: Well, I voted for Trump twice,</p>



		<p>John. I mentioned just recently I worked in the National Drug Control Policy for almost two years in the White House. For all intents and purposes, he is the, if he runs again he's going to be a strong candidate. With his fundraising capabilities and name recognition and it looks like Trump will be the standard-bearer for the Republican nominees in 2024." [Facebook, John Fredericks Show, <a href="#">2/11/22</a>] (audio) 00:07:08</p> <p><b>2024: Anderson Claimed He Was The Only Candidate In The Republican Primary That Could “Honestly Say” He Worked For The Trump Administration.</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on Outside The Beltway with John Fredericks, “JOHN FREDERICKS: Derrick, do you consider yourself to be the MAGA candidate in this race? DERRICK ANDERSON: You know, John, I think I'm the only candidate that can honestly say that I worked for President Trump. I worked in the National Drug Control Policy for President Trump when he was trying to secure our border.” [Rumble, Outside The Beltway, <a href="#">5/8/24</a>] (video) 00:07:35</p> <p><b>2022: Asked If He Would Endorse Trump Early, Anderson Said He Would “Have His Back.”</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on the John Fredericks Show, “JOHN FREDERICKS: So are you going to back [Trump] early? Are you going to back him or are you going to wait? DERRICK ANDERSON: Like I said, the odds are in his favor and the standard bearer and based on straight support he has my back, I would have his back.” [Facebook, John Fredericks Show, <a href="#">2/11/22</a>] (audio) 00:07:47</p> <p><b><u>Anderson Denounced The January 6 Investigative Committee Looking Into The Trump-Inspired Insurrection, Claiming It Was “A Cover Up” To Protect Biden</u></b></p> <p><b>Anderson Claimed The January 6<sup>th</sup> Investigative Committee Was “A Cover Up For All The Failed Policies Of The Biden Administration.”</b> According to an interview on O'Connor and Company, "HOST: What's your reaction to hearing congressmen and congresswomen talking about what happened on January 6<sup>th</sup> as an act of domestic terrorism? I mean, it wasn't a good day. But was it terrorism in your mind? DERRICK ANDERSON: Well, you know, Larry, I'm a conservative. I'm a political outsider. Right. I've never, never, ever run for office, never held office before. So I look at this from a different lens. I look at this more like the constituents of the seventh congressional district do. And one thing that what I'm seeing is that the Democrats are using this January 6<sup>th</sup> committee as essentially a cover up for all of the failed policies of the Biden administration, Democrats. You know, you mentioned, Larry, I do have a legal background, right. So I'm a constitutional conservative. I'm pro-life, pro-Second Amendment. I'm a build the wall conservative. At the end of the day, when we're having a trial, right. And you have a prosecution on one side and you have a defense on</p>
--	--	---



		<p>another side. Prosecutors get to give their case in chief and the defense gets to give their case. Right now, we're only seeing the prosecution side. And it's really, really bad when you're seeing facts being left out in this committee or edited. And it's really not doing, I believe that the American public are seeing through this, especially, you know, when they had that first meeting the other day, it was a made-for-TV broadcast. I mean, it was truly a made-for-TV broadcast. Literally. And so I think the American public are going to, they're going to lose credibility in this committee and say, well, this is just a selling point by the Democrats to try to cover up inflation, gas prices, the border, crime, what have you." [O'Connor And Company, <a href="#">6/14/22</a>] (audio) 00:05:08</p> <p><b><u>June 2024: Donald Trump Endorsed Derrick Anderson, Saying Anderson "Never Left Anyone Behind!"</u></b></p> <p><b>June 2024: Donald Trump Endorsed Derrick Anderson, Saying Anderson "Never Left Anyone Behind!"</b> "Former President Trump on Friday endorsed two Republican House candidates, both of whom served in the U.S. Army and are seeking to flip Democrat-held seats this fall. Trump's endorsements of Derrick Anderson, a former U.S. Army Special Forces Green Beret, and Laurie Buckhout, a former Army colonel, came one day after his debate against President Biden. In his endorsement of Anderson, the Republican nominee to represent Virginia's 7th Congressional District, Trump said, 'He bravely fought for our Great Country as a Green Beret, and was deployed to Afghanistan, Bahrain, Jordan, Israel, and Lebanon. Unlike the current administration, he never left anyone behind!'" (Fox News, <a href="#">06/29/24</a>)</p> <p><b><u>National Republicans Including Speaker Mike Johnson Endorsed Derrick Johnson And Want Him In Congress To Help Parrot Their Plans</u></b></p> <p><b>June 2024: Mike Johnson Urged Voters In Virginia's 7th Congressional District To Support Anderson In The Republican Primary, Saying That "We Need Derrick Anderson To Be Sent To Congress."</b> "NEW VIDEO: @MikeJohnson asks #VA07 patriots to get out and Vote Anderson on Tuesday! I appreciate Speaker Johnson's support and look forward to working with him to flip this critical seat." [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, <a href="#">6/17/24</a>]</p>
--	--	--



[Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [6/17/24](#)]

**VIDEO: Speaker Mike Johnson: “We Need Derrick Anderson To Be Sent To Congress.”** JOHNSON: “Hi, Speaker Mike Johnson here. I just want to weigh in on Virginia's 7 th District race. We need Derrick Anderson to be sent to Congress. We've learned something over the last four years – We need more governing conservatives here. We need thoughtful people who are principled and will come here and do the work to save this country. And Derek is exactly that kind of guy. You know, he's the first person in his family to graduate from college – he and I have that in common – but he was also a Special Forces Green Beret. He also clerked for two federal judges, and he worked in the Trump administration to stop the flow of illegal fentanyl coming over the southern border. He's exactly the kind of thoughtful leader that will represent Virginia well in Congress. We certainly hope that you'll get behind him. Thanks for doing that. Donate any amount you can. I promise you, Derek and I will be great stewards of that. We, together, are going to save this country. God bless you.” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [6/17/24](#)] (Audio)

**December 2023: Speaker Johnson Endorsed Anderson's Campaign For Virginia's 7th Congressional District.** “Thank you to @SpeakerJohnson for endorsing our campaign for Congress. Join our #VA07 team at <http://DerrickAnderson.com>!” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [12/22/23](#)]

**HEADLINE: “Scoop: Trump And Mike Johnson Plot 2025 Plans”** [Axios, [5/18/24](#)]

**May 2024: Donald Trump And Speaker Mike Johnson Were Speaking At Length About Legislative Plans For 2025.** “Donald Trump and Mike Johnson are talking at length about plans for next



		<p>year, a strong sign that the former president sees the speaker as more than an interim caretaker.” [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>May 2024: Johnson Was Planning A “Sweeping Ideological Legislative Drive” Aimed At Making Donald Trump The Most Consequential President Ever.</b> “Mike Johnson, the speaker of the US House of Representatives, is planning a sweeping ideological legislative drive that aims to make Donald Trump ‘the most consequential president of the modern era’ if the Republicans win power in November. A far-reaching bill containing a range of policy priorities at once – including tax cuts worth trillions, border security and rolling back Obamacare – is being prepared to avoid the mistakes the GOP believed happened early in Trump’s first term, when Johnson says the party wasted time because its victory over Hillary Clinton took it by surprise.” [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>2023-2024: Anderson Accepted At Least \$329,591 From 58 Republican House Members, Including Speaker Mike Johnson, Who Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.</b> [FEC Receipts Search, accessed <a href="#">7/27/24</a>; H.R. 431, Introduced <a href="#">1/20/23</a>; H.R. 1011, Introduced <a href="#">2/11/21</a>; H.R. 616, Introduced <a href="#">1/16/19</a>; H.R. 681, Introduced <a href="#">1/24/17</a>; H.R. 816, Introduced <a href="#">2/9/15</a>; H.R. 1091, Introduced <a href="#">4/8/13</a>; H.R. 374, Introduced <a href="#">1/20/11</a>]</p>
--	--	--



Derrick Anderson Campaign Contributions From Life At Conception Act Cosponsors													
CD	Member of Congress	Total # Cospon	118 <sup>th</sup> Congre	117 <sup>th</sup> Congre	116 <sup>th</sup> Congre	115 <sup>th</sup> Congre	114 <sup>th</sup> Congre	113 <sup>th</sup> Congre	112 <sup>th</sup> Congre	Contributions			
										Campaign	LPAC	JFC	TOTAL
LA-04	Mike Johnson	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	\$121,310	\$126,310
LA-01	Steve Scalise	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	\$2,000	\$10,000	\$14,656	\$26,656
OH-05	Bob Latta	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	\$5,000	--	\$7,000
TX-36	Brian Babin	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	\$3,300	--	\$5,300
IA-02	Ashley Hinson	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	\$1,000	--	\$5,000
TX-11	August Pfluger	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
UT-01	Blake Moore	1	N	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
IL-16	Darin LaHood	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
NC-10	Patrick McHenry	4	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
NC-09	Richard Hudson	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
TX-33	Roger Williams	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
TX-13	Ronny Jackson	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
OK-04	Tom Cole	6	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
MN-06	Tom Emmer	4	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
MO-03	Blaine Luetkemeyer	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$4,500	--	\$4,500
NE-02	Don Bacon	3	N	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$4,000	--	\$4,000
KS-02	Jake LaTurner	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
TX-19	Jodey Arrington	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
TX-17	Pete Sessions	5	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
GA-12	Rick Allen	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
KS-04	Ron Estes	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
MI-05	Tim Walberg	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
KY-02	Brett Guthrie	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,500	\$2,000	--	\$3,500
GA-01	Buddy Carter	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	\$1,500	--	\$3,500
LA-06	Garret Graves	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$1,000	\$2,500	--	\$3,500
CA-45	Michelle Steel	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$3,500	--	\$3,500
MI-09	Lisa McClain	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,300	--	--	\$3,300
ND-AL	Kelly Armstrong	2	N	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,125	--	--	\$3,125
WV-01	Carol Miller	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000
TN-08	David Kustoff	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000



AZ-08	Debbie Lesko	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000
PA-14	Guy Reschenthaler	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$3,000	--	\$3,000
WA-05	Cathy McMorris Rodgers	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
AL-06	Gary Palmer	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
PA-11	Lloyd Smucker	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
FL-06	Michael Waltz	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,500	--	--	\$2,500
NC-05	Virginia Foxx	2	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
GA-08	Austin Scott	6	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
FL-21	Brian Mast	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
NC-07	David Rouzer	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
PA-15	Glenn Thompson	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
NC-03	Gregory F. Murphy	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
FL-12	Gus Bilirakis	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
PA-13	John Joyce	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
IA-01	Mariannette Miller-Meeks	1	N	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
KY-06	Andy Barr	5	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$1,500	--	\$1,500
MO-02	Ann Wagner	4	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$1,500	--	\$1,500
TX-24	Beth Van Duyne	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
IN-08	Larry Bucshon	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
MN-08	Peter Stauber	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
IN-02	Rudy Yakym	1	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
MO-06	Sam Graves	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000
UT-04	Burgess Owens	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,400	--	--	\$1,400
OH-04	Jim Jordan	6	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
TX-06	Jake Ellzey	1	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
KY-05	Hal Rogers	4	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000
FL-06	Michael Waltz	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,500	--	--	\$1,500
TX-01	Nathaniel Moran	1	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000

[FEC Receipts Search, accessed [7/27/24](#)]

### **Anderson Has Called JD Vance The Right Choice**

**Anderson’s TV Ad Described Himself As A “Trump Conservative.”** “She’s hardly alone. Two other Republicans in the field, state Sen. Bryce Reeves and Army veteran Derrick Anderson, a self-described “Trump conservative,” have made the former president a focus of recent TV ads.” [NPR, [6/21/22](#)]

**Anderson: “It’s Time For Strong Leadership - Donald Trump Is That Leader. He’s The Guy To Make The Hard, Necessary Changes To Make Our Country Better.”** “Congratulations to Donald Trump on winning the Virginia primary and so many others. It’s clear that Joe Biden isn’t fit to take on all the challenges America faces. In fact, his disastrous policies on the border, economy, and crime have made our lives much worse. It’s time for strong leadership - Donald



		<p>Trump is that leader. He's the guy to make the hard, necessary changes to make our country better." [Derrick Anderson on Twitter, <a href="#">3/5/24</a>]</p> <p><b>June 2024: Trump Endorsed Anderson.</b> "Former President Trump on Friday endorsed two Republican House candidates, both of whom served in the U.S. Army and are seeking to flip Democrat-held seats this fall. Trump's endorsements of Derrick Anderson, a former U.S. Army Special Forces Green Beret, and Laurie Buckhout, a former Army colonel, came one day after his debate against President Biden." [Fox News, <a href="#">6/29/24</a>]</p> <p><b>July 2024: Anderson Called JD Vance "The Right Choice To Help Trump Bring Our Economy Back."</b> "<a href="#">JD Vance</a> is the right choice to help Trump bring our economy back, make our communities safer, secure our border, and stand up for our allies and against our enemies. My friends, family, and neighbors in my home district of <a href="#">#VA07</a> are hurting because of bad policies, and I'm ready to get them some help, which means a change in the White House." [Derrick Anderson For VA Facebook, <a href="#">7/15/24</a>]</p>
<p>CG: Parrot Derrick Anderson n</p> <p>Derrick Anderson n appears with the Parrot.</p>	<p><b>Like Derrick Anderson...</b></p>	<p><b><u>Anderson Is A Through And Through "Trump Conservative" Who Proudly Said Publicly He Voted For Trump Twice, Bragged About Having Trump's "Back," And Bragged He Was The Only Candidate In Race That Could "Honestly Say" That He Served In The Trump Administration</u></b></p> <p><b>Anderson's TV Ad Described Himself As A "Trump Conservative."</b> "She's hardly alone. Two other Republicans in the field, state Sen. Bryce Reeves and Army veteran Derrick Anderson, a self-described 'Trump conservative,' have made the former president a focus of recent TV ads." [NPR, <a href="#">6/21/22</a>]</p> <p><b>Anderson: "It's Time For Strong Leadership - Donald Trump Is That Leader. He's The Guy To Make The Hard, Necessary Changes To Make Our Country Better."</b> "Congratulations to Donald Trump on winning the Virginia primary and so many others. It's clear that Joe Biden isn't fit to take on all the challenges America faces. In fact, his disastrous policies on the border, economy, and crime have made our lives much worse. It's time for strong leadership - Donald Trump is that leader. He's the guy to make the hard, necessary changes to make our country better." [Derrick Anderson on Twitter, <a href="#">3/5/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Anderson Said He Voted For Trump Twice.</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on the John Fredericks Show, JOHN FREDERICKS: Likely Trump announces for president after the midterms, sometime maybe January. The day he announces are you going to give him your endorsement or wait and see how the field was</p>





		<p>to unfold? DERRICK ANDERSON: Well, I voted for Trump twice, John. I mentioned just recently I worked in the National Drug Control Policy for almost two years in the White House. For all intents and purposes, he is the, if he runs again he's going to be a strong candidate. With his fundraising capabilities and name recognition and it looks like Trump will be the standard-bearer for the Republican nominees in 2024." [Facebook, John Fredericks Show, <a href="#">2/11/22</a>] (audio) 00:07:08</p> <p><b>2024: Anderson Claimed He Was The Only Candidate In The Republican Primary That Could “Honestly Say” He Worked For The Trump Administration.</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on Outside The Beltway with John Fredericks, “JOHN FREDERICKS: Derrick, do you consider yourself to be the MAGA candidate in this race? DERRICK ANDERSON: You know, John, I think I'm the only candidate that can honestly say that I worked for President Trump. I worked in the National Drug Control Policy for President Trump when he was trying to secure our border.” [Rumble, Outside The Beltway, <a href="#">5/8/24</a>] (video) 00:07:35</p> <p><b>2022: Asked If He Would Endorse Trump Early, Anderson Said He Would “Have His Back.”</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on the John Fredericks Show, “JOHN FREDERICKS: So are you going to back [Trump] early? Are you going to back him or are you going to wait? DERRICK ANDERSON: Like I said, the odds are in his favor and the standard bearer and based on straight support he has my back, I would have his back.” [Facebook, John Fredericks Show, <a href="#">2/11/22</a>] (audio) 00:07:47</p> <p><b><u>Anderson Denounced The January 6 Investigative Committee Looking Into The Trump-Inspired Insurrection, Claiming It Was “A Cover Up” To Protect Biden</u></b></p> <p><b>Anderson Claimed The January 6<sup>th</sup> Investigative Committee Was “A Cover Up For All The Failed Policies Of The Biden Administration.”</b> According to an interview on O'Connor and Company, "HOST: What's your reaction to hearing congressmen and congresswomen talking about what happened on January 6<sup>th</sup> as an act of domestic terrorism? I mean, it wasn't a good day. But was it terrorism in your mind? DERRICK ANDERSON: Well, you know, Larry, I'm a conservative. I'm a political outsider. Right. I've never, never, ever run for office, never held office before. So I look at this from a different lens. I look at this more like the constituents of the seventh congressional district do. And one thing that what I'm seeing is that the Democrats are using this January 6<sup>th</sup> committee as essentially a cover up for all of the failed policies of the Biden administration, Democrats. You know, you mentioned, Larry, I do have a legal background, right. So I'm a constitutional conservative. I'm pro-life, pro-Second Amendment. I'm a build the wall conservative. At the end of the day, when we're having a trial, right.</p>
--	--	--



		<p>And you have a prosecution on one side and you have a defense on another side. Prosecutors get to give their case in chief and the defense gets to give their case. Right now, we're only seeing the prosecution side. And it's really, really bad when you're seeing facts being left out in this committee or edited. And it's really not doing, I believe that the American public are seeing through this, especially, you know, when they had that first meeting the other day, it was a made-for-TV broadcast. I mean, it was truly a made-for-TV broadcast. Literally. And so I think the American public are going to, they're going to lose credibility in this committee and say, well, this is just a selling point by the Democrats to try to cover up inflation, gas prices, the border, crime, what have you." [O'Connor And Company, <a href="#">6/14/22</a>] (audio) 00:05:08</p> <p><b><u>June 2024: Donald Trump Endorsed Derrick Anderson, Saying Anderson "Never Left Anyone Behind!"</u></b></p> <p><b>June 2024: Donald Trump Endorsed Derrick Anderson, Saying Anderson "Never Left Anyone Behind!"</b> "Former President Trump on Friday endorsed two Republican House candidates, both of whom served in the U.S. Army and are seeking to flip Democrat-held seats this fall. Trump's endorsements of Derrick Anderson, a former U.S. Army Special Forces Green Beret, and Laurie Buckhout, a former Army colonel, came one day after his debate against President Biden. In his endorsement of Anderson, the Republican nominee to represent Virginia's 7th Congressional District, Trump said, 'He bravely fought for our Great Country as a Green Beret, and was deployed to Afghanistan, Bahrain, Jordan, Israel, and Lebanon. Unlike the current administration, he never left anyone behind!'" (Fox News, <a href="#">06/29/24</a>)</p> <p><b><u>National Republicans Including Speaker Mike Johnson Endorsed Derrick Johnson And Want Him In Congress To Help Parrot Their Plans</u></b></p> <p><b>June 2024: Mike Johnson Urged Voters In Virginia's 7th Congressional District To Support Anderson In The Republican Primary, Saying That "We Need Derrick Anderson To Be Sent To Congress."</b> "NEW VIDEO: @MikeJohnson asks #VA07 patriots to get out and Vote Anderson on Tuesday! I appreciate Speaker Johnson's support and look forward to working with him to flip this critical seat." [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, <a href="#">6/17/24</a>]</p>
--	--	---



[Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [6/17/24](#)]

**VIDEO: Speaker Mike Johnson: “We Need Derrick Anderson To Be Sent To Congress.”** JOHNSON: “Hi, Speaker Mike Johnson here. I just want to weigh in on Virginia's 7 th District race. We need Derrick Anderson to be sent to Congress. We've learned something over the last four years – We need more governing conservatives here. We need thoughtful people who are principled and will come here and do the work to save this country. And Derek is exactly that kind of guy. You know, he's the first person in his family to graduate from college – he and I have that in common – but he was also a Special Forces Green Beret. He also clerked for two federal judges, and he worked in the Trump administration to stop the flow of illegal fentanyl coming over the southern border. He's exactly the kind of thoughtful leader that will represent Virginia well in Congress. We certainly hope that you'll get behind him. Thanks for doing that. Donate any amount you can. I promise you, Derek and I will be great stewards of that. We, together, are going to save this country. God bless you.” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [6/17/24](#)] (Audio)

**December 2023: Speaker Johnson Endorsed Anderson's Campaign For Virginia's 7th Congressional District.** “Thank you to @SpeakerJohnson for endorsing our campaign for Congress. Join our #VA07 team at <http://DerrickAnderson.com>!” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [12/22/23](#)]

**HEADLINE: “Scoop: Trump And Mike Johnson Plot 2025 Plans”** [Axios, [5/18/24](#)]

**May 2024: Donald Trump And Speaker Mike Johnson Were Speaking At Length About Legislative Plans For 2025.** “Donald Trump and Mike Johnson are talking at length about plans for next



		<p>year, a strong sign that the former president sees the speaker as more than an interim caretaker.” [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>May 2024: Johnson Was Planning A “Sweeping Ideological Legislative Drive” Aimed At Making Donald Trump The Most Consequential President Ever.</b> “Mike Johnson, the speaker of the US House of Representatives, is planning a sweeping ideological legislative drive that aims to make Donald Trump ‘the most consequential president of the modern era’ if the Republicans win power in November. A far-reaching bill containing a range of policy priorities at once – including tax cuts worth trillions, border security and rolling back Obamacare – is being prepared to avoid the mistakes the GOP believed happened early in Trump’s first term, when Johnson says the party wasted time because its victory over Hillary Clinton took it by surprise.” [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>2023-2024: Anderson Accepted At Least \$329,591 From 58 Republican House Members, Including Speaker Mike Johnson, Who Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.</b> [FEC Receipts Search, accessed <a href="#">7/27/24</a>; H.R. 431, Introduced <a href="#">1/20/23</a>; H.R. 1011, Introduced <a href="#">2/11/21</a>; H.R. 616, Introduced <a href="#">1/16/19</a>; H.R. 681, Introduced <a href="#">1/24/17</a>; H.R. 816, Introduced <a href="#">2/9/15</a>; H.R. 1091, Introduced <a href="#">4/8/13</a>; H.R. 374, Introduced <a href="#">1/20/11</a>]</p>
--	--	--



Derrick Anderson Campaign Contributions From Life At Conception Act Cosponsors													
CD	Member of Congress	Total # Cospon	118 <sup>th</sup> Congre	117 <sup>th</sup> Congre	116 <sup>th</sup> Congre	115 <sup>th</sup> Congre	114 <sup>th</sup> Congre	113 <sup>th</sup> Congre	112 <sup>th</sup> Congre	Contributions			
										Campaign	LPAC	JFC	TOTAL
LA-04	Mike Johnson	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	\$121,310	\$126,310
LA-01	Steve Scalise	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	\$2,000	\$10,000	\$14,656	\$26,656
OH-05	Bob Latta	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	\$5,000	--	\$7,000
TX-36	Brian Babin	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	\$3,300	--	\$5,300
IA-02	Ashley Hinson	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	\$1,000	--	\$5,000
TX-11	August Pfluger	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
UT-01	Blake Moore	1	N	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
IL-16	Darin LaHood	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
NC-10	Patrick McHenry	4	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
NC-09	Richard Hudson	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
TX-33	Roger Williams	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
TX-13	Ronny Jackson	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
OK-04	Tom Cole	6	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
MN-06	Tom Emmer	4	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
MO-03	Blaine Luetkemeyer	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$4,500	--	\$4,500
NE-02	Don Bacon	3	N	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$4,000	--	\$4,000
KS-02	Jake LaTurner	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
TX-19	Jodey Arrington	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
TX-17	Pete Sessions	5	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
GA-12	Rick Allen	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
KS-04	Ron Estes	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
MI-05	Tim Walberg	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
KY-02	Brett Guthrie	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,500	\$2,000	--	\$3,500
GA-01	Buddy Carter	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	\$1,500	--	\$3,500
LA-06	Garret Graves	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$1,000	\$2,500	--	\$3,500
CA-45	Michelle Steel	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$3,500	--	\$3,500
MI-09	Lisa McClain	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,300	--	--	\$3,300
ND-AL	Kelly Armstrong	2	N	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,125	--	--	\$3,125
WV-01	Carol Miller	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000
TN-08	David Kustoff	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000



AZ-08	Debbie Lesko	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000
PA-14	Guy Reschenthaler	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$3,000	--	\$3,000
WA-05	Cathy McMorris Rodgers	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
AL-06	Gary Palmer	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
PA-11	Lloyd Smucker	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
FL-06	Michael Waltz	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,500		--	\$2,500
NC-05	Virginia Foxx	2	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
GA-08	Austin Scott	6	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
FL-21	Brian Mast	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
NC-07	David Rouzer	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
PA-15	Glenn Thompson	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
NC-03	Gregory F. Murphy	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
FL-12	Gus Bilirakis	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
PA-13	John Joyce	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
IA-01	Mariannette Miller-Meeks	1	N	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
KY-06	Andy Barr	5	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$1,500	--	\$1,500
MO-02	Ann Wagner	4	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$1,500	--	\$1,500
TX-24	Beth Van Duyne	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
IN-08	Larry Bucshon	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
MN-08	Peter Stauber	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
IN-02	Rudy Yakym	1	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
MO-06	Sam Graves	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000
UT-04	Burgess Owens	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,400	--	--	\$1,400
OH-04	Jim Jordan	6	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
TX-06	Jake Ellzey	1	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
KY-05	Hal Rogers	4	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000
FL-06	Michael Waltz	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,500	--	--	\$1,500
TX-01	Nathaniel Moran	1	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000

[FEC Receipts Search, accessed [7/27/24](#)]

### **Anderson Has Called JD Vance The Right Choice**

**Anderson’s TV Ad Described Himself As A “Trump Conservative.”** “She’s hardly alone. Two other Republicans in the field, state Sen. Bryce Reeves and Army veteran Derrick Anderson, a self-described “Trump conservative,” have made the former president a focus of recent TV ads.” [NPR, [6/21/22](#)]

**Anderson: “It’s Time For Strong Leadership - Donald Trump Is That Leader. He’s The Guy To Make The Hard, Necessary Changes To Make Our Country Better.”** “Congratulations to Donald Trump on winning the Virginia primary and so many others. It’s clear that Joe Biden isn’t fit to take on all the challenges America faces. In fact, his disastrous policies on the border, economy, and crime have made our lives much worse. It’s time for strong leadership - Donald



		<p>Trump is that leader. He's the guy to make the hard, necessary changes to make our country better." [Derrick Anderson on Twitter, <a href="#">3/5/24</a>]</p> <p><b>June 2024: Trump Endorsed Anderson.</b> "Former President Trump on Friday endorsed two Republican House candidates, both of whom served in the U.S. Army and are seeking to flip Democrat-held seats this fall. Trump's endorsements of Derrick Anderson, a former U.S. Army Special Forces Green Beret, and Laurie Buckhout, a former Army colonel, came one day after his debate against President Biden." [Fox News, <a href="#">6/29/24</a>]</p> <p><b>July 2024: Anderson Called JD Vance "The Right Choice To Help Trump Bring Our Economy Back."</b> "JD Vance is the right choice to help Trump bring our economy back, make our communities safer, secure our border, and stand up for our allies and against our enemies. My friends, family, and neighbors in my home district of <a href="#">#VA07</a> are hurting because of bad policies, and I'm ready to get them some help, which means a change in the White House." [Derrick Anderson For VA Facebook, <a href="#">7/15/24</a>]</p>
<p>CG: Derrick Parrots the Project 2025 Agenda</p> <p>MAGA Politicians take up one side of the screen. They are saying "MAGA" and "Project 2025" in text bubbles.</p> <p>We leave up on</p>	<p><b>In Congress he'll parrot the extreme Project 2025 agenda that hurts working families.</b></p>	<p><b><u>Derrick Anderson is funded by the Heritage Foundation board, which led and oversaw Project 2025, a "collaboration of dozens of conservative organizations," run by "many of Trump's closest policy advisers and those likely to take high-ranking positions in his administration." Trump himself agrees "with many" of its policy proposals, and even has "endorsed various proposals that are central to Project 2025." Project 2025 is MAGA's "Terrifying Plan to Remake America" and "usher in a sweeping overhaul of the executive branch."</u></b></p> <p>Derrick Anderson is funded by a campaign contribution from Heritage Foundation board member Shane McCullar. The Heritage Foundation has led and overseen Project 2025, a "collaboration of dozens of conservative organizations," run by "many of Trump's closest policy advisers and those likely to take high-ranking positions in his administration." Trump himself agrees "with many" of its policy proposals, and even has "endorsed various proposals that are central to Project 2025." Project 2025 is MAGA's "Terrifying Plan to Remake America" and "usher in a sweeping overhaul of the executive branch."</p> <p>On June 11, 2024, Derrick Anderson accepted a \$3,300 campaign contribution from Heritage Board member Shane McCullar. (FEC, <a href="#">06/11/24</a> and Heritage, <a href="#">04/18/24</a>)</p>





<p>screen text label with <b>“Project 2025 Politicians”</b> over the politician s Derrick and the Parrot are nodding along. Parrot gives a thumbs up or other gesture of affirmation.</p>		<p>Headline: “Riding Trump’s endorsement, Junge wins GOP primary for the 8th US House District” (<i>Michigan Advance</i>, <a href="#">08/06/24</a>)</p> <p>“In Michigan’s 8th Congressional District, former Trump administration employee Paul Junge will advance to the November ballot, securing the GOP nomination in what is expected to be a tight race, critical for party control of Congress [...]</p> <p>Junge, who unsuccessfully challenged Kildee in 2022, is a former prosecutor and former news anchor who worked in the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services during the Trump Administration. He was endorsed by the former president on July 26. Junge’s campaign has emphasized his work during the Trump campaign [...]</p> <p>Hudson works as a truck driver and runs his own trucking company. He pointed to crime and poverty as the biggest concerns in Michigan’s 8th Congressional District. He describes himself as an ‘average American citizen that is tired of the direction our country is going,’ noting his support for former President Donald Trump and the former president’s vision for the nation.” (<i>Michigan Advance</i>, <a href="#">08/06/24</a>)</p> <p>“The project, a collaboration of dozens of conservative organizations, is overseen by the Heritage Foundation, a right-wing think tank [...]</p> <p>In practice, many of Trump’s closest policy advisers and those likely to take high-ranking positions in his administration are heavily involved in the project [...]</p> <p>Trump appears to agree with many - but not all - proposals. In practice, his campaign has a small in-house policy team, and he tends to discuss policy only in broad terms on the trail. Though he has endorsed various proposals that are central to Project 2025, like giving himself the power to radically expand the number of political appointees in government and eliminating the Department of Education, he disagrees with other proposals, like putting restrictions on abortion pills.” (<i>Reuters</i>, <a href="#">07/12/24</a>)</p> <p>“Amid negative press around Project 2025—many of its policies are proving controversial even with Republican voters—Trump is attempting to distance himself from it. But CNN reports that the staff of Project 2025 includes at least 140 members of his administration, including six of his cabinet members and four of his appointed ambassadors.” (<i>Outside Online</i>, <a href="#">07/15/24</a>)</p>
---	--	---





		<p>Headline: “A Guide to Project 2025, the Right’s Terrifying Plan to Remake America” (<i>Rolling Stone</i>, <a href="#">07/10/24</a>)</p> <p>“Voters in recent weeks have begun to hear the name ‘Project 2025’ invoked more and more by President Biden and Democrats, as they seek to sound the alarm about what could be in store if former President Donald Trump wins a second term in the White House. Overseen by the conservative Heritage Foundation, the multi-pronged initiative includes a detailed blueprint for the next Republican president to usher in a sweeping overhaul of the executive branch.” (<i>CBS News</i>, <a href="#">07/11/24</a>)</p> <p><b><u>Derrick Anderson has already been accused repeatedly of being tied to Project 2025</u></b></p> <p><b><i>The Hill</i>: groups have already highlighted “the political and ideological links between” Derrick Anderson and “Trump, Speaker Mike Johnson (R-La.), [...] and the Heritage Foundation’s Project 2025.”</b> “A coalition of immigrant and Latino advocacy groups is reviving a bilingual campaign drawing connections between moderate Republicans in the House and former President Trump’s closest ideological orbit. The campaign, ‘Dime Con Quién Andas/Show Me Your Friends’ — or ‘Dime’ for short — first ran in 2022, targeting six Republican House candidates. Dime is a product of America’s Voice, a top progressive immigration advocacy group, with Voto Latino and Mi Familia Vota, two progressive Latino voter engagement groups. The 2024 version will focus on GOP Reps. Juan Ciscomani (Ariz.), John Duarte (Calif.), Mike Garcia (Calif.), David Valadao (Calif.), Monica De La Cruz (Texas), Jen Kiggans (Va.), Lori Chavez-DeRemer (Ore.) and Mike Lawler (N.Y.); former Rep. Mayra Flores (R-Texas); and Derrick Anderson, the GOP nominee to replace retiring Rep. Abigail Spanberger (D-Va.). The campaign will highlight the political and ideological links between those Republicans and Trump, Speaker Mike Johnson (R-La.), Rep. Elise Stefanik (R-N.Y.), Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-Ga.) and the Heritage Foundation’s Project 2025.” (<i>Hill</i>, <a href="#">07/02/24</a>)</p> <p><b>Derrick Anderson’s opponent has also attacked him and tied Anderson “to [the] talking points” of Project 2025, “a lengthy conservative policy document for Republican that’s been called “a manifesto for a second Trump term.”</b> “On the table in front of the Democratic nominee was a copy of Project 2025, a lengthy conservative policy document for Republicans. Vindman referenced the document several times, calling it ‘a manifesto for a second Trump term.’ He attempted to tie Anderson to its talking points. Various times, he referenced ‘MAGA Republicans’ and how they had</p>
--	--	---



		<p>taken over the party. Vindman said Anderson is beholden to them, financed by them and owned lock, stock and barrel by that wing of the party. 'There is no room for dissent in the MAGA party,' he said." (<i>Madison County Eagle</i>, 09/21/24)</p> <p><b><u>Anderson Is A Through And Through "Trump Conservative" Who Proudly Said Publicly He Voted For Trump Twice, Bragged About Having Trump's "Back," And Bragged He Was The Only Candidate In Race That Could "Honestly Say" That He Served In The Trump Administration</u></b></p> <p><b>Anderson's TV Ad Described Himself As A "Trump Conservative."</b> "She's hardly alone. Two other Republicans in the field, state Sen. Bryce Reeves and Army veteran Derrick Anderson, a self-described 'Trump conservative,' have made the former president a focus of recent TV ads." [NPR, <a href="#">6/21/22</a>]</p> <p><b>Anderson: "It's Time For Strong Leadership - Donald Trump Is That Leader. He's The Guy To Make The Hard, Necessary Changes To Make Our Country Better."</b> "Congratulations to Donald Trump on winning the Virginia primary and so many others. It's clear that Joe Biden isn't fit to take on all the challenges America faces. In fact, his disastrous policies on the border, economy, and crime have made our lives much worse. It's time for strong leadership - Donald Trump is that leader. He's the guy to make the hard, necessary changes to make our country better." [Derrick Anderson on Twitter, <a href="#">3/5/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Anderson Said He Voted For Trump Twice.</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on the John Fredericks Show, JOHN FREDERICKS: Likely Trump announces for president after the midterms, sometime maybe January. The day he announces are you going to give him your endorsement or wait and see how the field was to unfold? DERRICK ANDERSON: Well, I voted for Trump twice, John. I mentioned just recently I worked in the National Drug Control Policy for almost two years in the White House. For all intents and purposes, he is the, if he runs again he's going to be a strong candidate. With his fundraising capabilities and name recognition and it looks like Trump will be the standard-bearer for the Republican nominees in 2024." [Facebook, John Fredericks Show, <a href="#">2/11/22</a>] (audio) 00:07:08</p> <p><b>2024: Anderson Claimed He Was The Only Candidate In The Republican Primary That Could "Honestly Say" He Worked For The Trump Administration.</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on Outside The Beltway with John Fredericks, "JOHN FREDERICKS: Derrick, do you consider yourself to be the MAGA candidate in this race? DERRICK ANDERSON: You know, John, I think I'm the only candidate that can honestly say that I worked for President Trump. I worked in the National Drug Control Policy for</p>
--	--	--



		<p>President Trump when he was trying to secure our border.” [Rumble, Outside The Beltway, <a href="#">5/8/24</a>] (video) 00:07:35</p> <p><b>2022: Asked If He Would Endorse Trump Early, Anderson Said He Would “Have His Back.”</b> According to an interview Derrick Anderson gave on the John Fredericks Show, “JOHN FREDERICKS: So are you going to back [Trump] early? Are you going to back him or are you going to wait? DERRICK ANDERSON: Like I said, the odds are in his favor and the standard bearer and based on straight support he has my back, I would have his back.” [Facebook, John Fredericks Show, <a href="#">2/11/22</a>] (audio) 00:07:47</p> <p><b><u>Anderson Denounced The January 6 Investigative Committee Looking Into The Trump-Inspired Insurrection, Claiming It Was “A Cover Up” To Protect Biden</u></b></p> <p><b>Anderson Claimed The January 6<sup>th</sup> Investigative Committee Was “A Cover Up For All The Failed Policies Of The Biden Administration.”</b> According to an interview on O’Connor and Company, "HOST: What’s your reaction to hearing congressmen and congresswomen talking about what happened on January 6<sup>th</sup> as an act of domestic terrorism? I mean, it wasn’t a good day. But was it terrorism in your mind? DERRICK ANDERSON: Well, you know, Larry, I’m a conservative. I’m a political outsider. Right. I’ve never, never, ever run for office, never held office before. So I look at this from a different lens. I look at this more like the constituents of the seventh congressional district do. And one thing that what I’m seeing is that the Democrats are using this January 6<sup>th</sup> committee as essentially a cover up for all of the failed policies of the Biden administration, Democrats. You know, you mentioned, Larry, I do have a legal background, right. So I’m a constitutional conservative. I’m pro-life, pro-Second Amendment. I’m a build the wall conservative. At the end of the day, when we’re having a trial, right. And you have a prosecution on one side and you have a defense on another side. Prosecutors get to give their case in chief and the defense gets to give their case. Right now, we’re only seeing the prosecution side. And it’s really, really bad when you’re seeing facts being left out in this committee or edited. And it’s really not doing, I believe that the American public are seeing through this, especially, you know, when they had that first meeting the other day, it was a made-for-TV broadcast. I mean, it was truly a made-for-TV broadcast. Literally. And so I think the American public are going to, they’re going to lose credibility in this committee and say, well, this is just a selling point by the Democrats to try to cover up inflation, gas prices, the border, crime, what have you." [O’Connor And Company, <a href="#">6/14/22</a>] (audio) 00:05:08</p> <p><b><u>June 2024: Donald Trump Endorsed Derrick Anderson, Saying Anderson “Never Left Anyone Behind!”</u></b></p>
--	--	---




		<p><b>June 2024: Donald Trump Endorsed Derrick Anderson, Saying Anderson “Never Left Anyone Behind!”</b> “Former President Trump on Friday endorsed two Republican House candidates, both of whom served in the U.S. Army and are seeking to flip Democrat-held seats this fall. Trump's endorsements of Derrick Anderson, a former U.S. Army Special Forces Green Beret, and Laurie Buckhout, a former Army colonel, came one day after his debate against President Biden. In his endorsement of Anderson, the Republican nominee to represent Virginia's 7th Congressional District, Trump said, ‘He bravely fought for our Great Country as a Green Beret, and was deployed to Afghanistan, Bahrain, Jordan, Israel, and Lebanon. Unlike the current administration, he never left anyone behind!’” (Fox News, <a href="#">06/29/24</a>)</p> <p><b><u>Anderson Supported Ruling That Allows Politicians To Ban Abortion Without Exceptions</u></b></p> <p><b>When SCOTUS Overturned Roe V. Wade, Anderson Said The Court “Finally Got It Right”</b> “SCOTUS finally got it right, and overturned a 50 year decision of federalizing abortions. As Justice Alito stated, the lack of a constitutional reference to abortion requires Roe and Casey to be overturned.</p> <div><p>Derrick Anderson  @DerrickforVA</p><p>SCOTUS finally got it right, and overturned a 50 year decision of federalizing abortions. As Justice Alito stated, the lack of a constitutional reference to abortion requires Roe and Casey to be overturned. <a href="https://foxnews.com/politics/supre...">foxnews.com/politics/supre...</a></p><p>12:23 PM · Jun 24, 2022</p></div> <p><a href="https://foxnews.com/politics/supreme-court-overturns-roe-v-wade-dob-bs-v-jackson-womens-health-organization">https://foxnews.com/politics/supreme-court-overturns-roe-v-wade-dob-bs-v-jackson-womens-health-organization</a> “ [<a href="#">@derrickforVA</a>, <a href="#">6/24/22</a>]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● <b>The Overturning Of Roe V. Wade Allowed States To Ban Abortion, Even For Survivors Of Rape And Incest And Even To Save To The Life Of The Mother.</b> “The Supreme Court on Friday overturned the fundamental right to abortion established nearly 50 years ago in Roe v. Wade, a stunning ruling that could alter the nation’s political landscape and leaves states free to drastically reduce or even outlaw a procedure that abortion rights groups said is key to women’s equality and independence. [...] The dissenters also said the majority opinion did not answer important questions, such as whether prohibitions must contain an exception for rape, incest or to save the life of the woman. Under some state laws recently passed in anticipation of Roe being overturned, ‘a woman will have to bear her rapist’s child or a young girl her father’s — no matter if doing so will destroy her life. So too, after today’s ruling, some States may compel women to carry to term a fetus with severe physical anomalies,’ they</li></ul>
--	--	--



		<p>wrote. The majority opinion said Mississippi included a health exception, and Kavanaugh, in a concurring opinion, tried to blunt some of those criticisms. He noted that ‘abortion statutes traditionally and currently provide for an exception when an abortion is necessary to protect the life of the mother.’ [Washington Post, <a href="#">6/24/22</a>]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● <b>Center For Reproductive Rights: “By Overturning Roe V. Wade, Which For Nearly 50 Years Protected The Federal Constitutional Right To Abortion, The Supreme Court Gave States Total Leeway To Restrict Abortion Or Prohibit It All Together.”</b> “By overturning Roe v. Wade, which for nearly 50 years protected the federal Constitutional right to abortion, the Supreme Court gave states total leeway to restrict abortion or prohibit it all together. Almost half the states are likely to enact new laws as restrictive as possible or seek to enforce current, unconstitutional laws prohibiting abortion. We are seeing states divide into abortion deserts, where it is illegal to access care, and abortion havens, where care continues to be available. Millions of people living in abortion deserts, mainly in the South and Midwest, are forced to travel to receive legal care, which results in many people simply being unable to access abortion for a variety of financial and logistical reasons.” [Center For Reproductive Rights, accessed <a href="#">6/29/22</a>]</li><li>● <b>22 States Had Laws To “Outlaw Abortion In All Or Most Cases” Immediately Following The Overturn Of Roe.</b> “The Supreme Court has overturned Roe v. Wade, wiping out the constitutional right to an abortion in the US. Roughly 33 million child-bearing women are set to lose access to the procedure in their home states. The majority opinion voted to uphold Mississippi’s ban on abortion after 15 weeks of pregnancy, with the court ruling 5-4 to explicitly overturn Roe. That leaves it up to states to determine their own abortion laws. At least 22 US states already have laws on the books that will now outlaw abortion in all or most cases, with four others indicating they are likely to move in a similar direction. Sixteen states and the District of Columbia protect the right to the procedure.” [Bloomberg, <a href="#">6/24/22</a>]</li></ul> <p><b>June 2022: Anderson: “My Life Story Is Pro-Life, &amp; That’s How I’ll Serve.”</b> “My life story is pro-life, &amp; that’s how I’ll serve. My mother got pregnant with me at 17. She raised me as a single mom while running small businesses. I then became the first in my family to attend college, served 10+ years as a Green Beret, &amp; now I’m running for Congress. #VA07” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, <a href="#">6/21/22</a>]</p>
--	--	---

**Anderson Was Funded By People Would Let The Government Create A List Of Pregnant Women In Order To Track Their Pregnancies**

**June 2024: Mike Johnson Urged Voters In Virginia’s 7th Congressional District To Support Anderson In The Republican Primary, Saying That “We Need Derrick Anderson To Be Sent To Congress.”** “NEW VIDEO: @MikeJohnson asks #VA07 patriots to get out and Vote Anderson on Tuesday! I appreciate Speaker Johnson’s support and look forward to working with him to flip this critical seat.” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [6/17/24](#)]



[Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [6/17/24](#)]

**VIDEO: Speaker Mike Johnson: “We Need Derrick Anderson To Be Sent To Congress.”** JOHNSON: “Hi, Speaker Mike Johnson here. I just want to weigh in on Virginia’s 7 th District race. We need Derrick Anderson to be sent to Congress. We’ve learned something over the last four years – We need more governing conservatives here. We need thoughtful people who are principled and will come here and do the work to save this country. And Derek is exactly that kind of guy. You know, he’s the first person in his family to graduate from college – he and I have that in common – but he was also a Special Forces Green Beret. He also clerked for two federal judges, and he worked in the Trump administration to stop the flow of illegal fentanyl coming over the southern border. He’s exactly the kind of thoughtful leader that will represent Virginia well in Congress. We certainly hope that you’ll get behind him. Thanks for doing that. Donate any amount you can. I promise you, Derek and I will be great stewards of that. We, together, are going to save this country. God bless you.” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, [6/17/24](#)] (Audio)



		<p><b>December 2023: Speaker Johnson Endorsed Anderson's Campaign For Virginia's 7th Congressional District.</b> "Thank you to @SpeakerJohnson for endorsing our campaign for Congress. Join our #VA07 team at <a href="http://DerrickAnderson.com">http://DerrickAnderson.com</a>!" [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, <a href="#">12/22/23</a>]</p> <p><b>HEADLINE: "Scoop: Trump And Mike Johnson Plot 2025 Plans"</b> [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>May 2024: Donald Trump And Speaker Mike Johnson Were Speaking At Length About Legislative Plans For 2025.</b> "Donald Trump and Mike Johnson are talking at length about plans for next year, a strong sign that the former president sees the speaker as more than an interim caretaker." [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>May 2024: Johnson Was Planning A "Sweeping Ideological Legislative Drive" Aimed At Making Donald Trump The Most Consequential President Ever.</b> "Mike Johnson, the speaker of the US House of Representatives, is planning a sweeping ideological legislative drive that aims to make Donald Trump 'the most consequential president of the modern era' if the Republicans win power in November. A far-reaching bill containing a range of policy priorities at once – including tax cuts worth trillions, border security and rolling back Obamacare – is being prepared to avoid the mistakes the GOP believed happened early in Trump's first term, when Johnson says the party wasted time because its victory over Hillary Clinton took it by surprise." [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>2023-2024: Anderson Accepted At Least \$329,591 From 58 Republican House Members, Including Speaker Mike Johnson, Who Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.</b> [FEC Receipts Search, accessed <a href="#">7/27/24</a>; H.R. 431, Introduced <a href="#">1/20/23</a>; H.R. 1011, Introduced <a href="#">2/11/21</a>; H.R. 616, Introduced <a href="#">1/16/19</a>; H.R. 681, Introduced <a href="#">1/24/17</a>; H.R. 816, Introduced <a href="#">2/9/15</a>; H.R. 1091, Introduced <a href="#">4/8/13</a>; H.R. 374, Introduced <a href="#">1/20/11</a>]</p>
--	--	---





Derrick Anderson Campaign Contributions From Life At Conception Act Cosponsors													
CD	Member of Congress	Total # Cospon	118 <sup>th</sup> Congre	117 <sup>th</sup> Congre	116 <sup>th</sup> Congre	115 <sup>th</sup> Congre	114 <sup>th</sup> Congre	113 <sup>th</sup> Congre	112 <sup>th</sup> Congre	Contributions			
										Campaign	LPAC	JFC	TOTAL
LA-04	Mike Johnson	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	\$121,310	\$126,310
LA-01	Steve Scalise	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	\$2,000	\$10,000	\$14,656	\$26,656
OH-05	Bob Latta	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	\$5,000	--	\$7,000
TX-36	Brian Babin	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	\$3,300	--	\$5,300
IA-02	Ashley Hinson	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	\$1,000	--	\$5,000
TX-11	August Pfluger	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
UT-01	Blake Moore	1	N	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
IL-16	Darin LaHood	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
NC-10	Patrick McHenry	4	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
NC-09	Richard Hudson	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
TX-33	Roger Williams	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
TX-13	Ronny Jackson	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
OK-04	Tom Cole	6	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
MN-06	Tom Emmer	4	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
MO-03	Blaine Luetkemeyer	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$4,500	--	\$4,500
NE-02	Don Bacon	3	N	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$4,000	--	\$4,000
KS-02	Jake LaTurner	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
TX-19	Jodey Arrington	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
TX-17	Pete Sessions	5	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
GA-12	Rick Allen	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
KS-04	Ron Estes	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
MI-05	Tim Walberg	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
KY-02	Brett Guthrie	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,500	\$2,000	--	\$3,500
GA-01	Buddy Carter	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	\$1,500	--	\$3,500
LA-06	Garret Graves	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$1,000	\$2,500	--	\$3,500
CA-45	Michelle Steel	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$3,500	--	\$3,500
MI-09	Lisa McClain	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,300	--	--	\$3,300
ND-AL	Kelly Armstrong	2	N	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,125	--	--	\$3,125
WV-01	Carol Miller	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000
TN-08	David Kustoff	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000





AZ-08	Debbie Lesko	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000
PA-14	Guy Reschenthaler	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$3,000	--	\$3,000
WA-05	Cathy McMorris Rodgers	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
AL-06	Gary Palmer	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
PA-11	Lloyd Smucker	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
FL-06	Michael Waltz	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,500	--	--	\$2,500
NC-05	Virginia Foxx	2	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
GA-08	Austin Scott	6	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
FL-21	Brian Mast	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
NC-07	David Rouzer	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
PA-15	Glenn Thompson	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
NC-03	Gregory F. Murphy	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
FL-12	Gus Bilirakis	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
PA-13	John Joyce	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
IA-01	Mariannette Miller-Meeks	1	N	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
KY-06	Andy Barr	5	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$1,500	--	\$1,500
MO-02	Ann Wagner	4	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$1,500	--	\$1,500
TX-24	Beth Van Duyne	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
IN-08	Larry Bucshon	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
MN-08	Peter Stauber	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
IN-02	Rudy Yakym	1	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
MO-06	Sam Graves	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000
UT-04	Burgess Owens	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,400	--	--	\$1,400
OH-04	Jim Jordan	6	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
TX-06	Jake Ellzey	1	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
KY-05	Hal Rogers	4	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000
FL-06	Michael Waltz	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,500	--	--	\$1,500
TX-01	Nathaniel Moran	1	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000

[FEC Receipts Search, accessed [7/27/24](#)]

### **Trump Endorsed Anderson And Anderson Called JD Vance The Right Choice**

**Anderson’s TV Ad Described Himself As A “Trump Conservative.”** “She’s hardly alone. Two other Republicans in the field, state Sen. Bryce Reeves and Army veteran Derrick Anderson, a self-described “Trump conservative,” have made the former president a focus of recent TV ads.” [NPR, [6/21/22](#)]

**Anderson: “It’s Time For Strong Leadership - Donald Trump Is That Leader. He’s The Guy To Make The Hard, Necessary Changes To Make Our Country Better.”** “Congratulations to Donald Trump on winning the Virginia primary and so many others. It’s clear that Joe Biden isn’t fit to take on all the challenges America faces. In fact, his disastrous policies on the border, economy, and crime have



		<p>made our lives much worse. It's time for strong leadership - Donald Trump is that leader. He's the guy to make the hard, necessary changes to make our country better." [Derrick Anderson on Twitter, <a href="#">3/5/24</a>]</p> <p><b>June 2024: Trump Endorsed Anderson.</b> "Former President Trump on Friday endorsed two Republican House candidates, both of whom served in the U.S. Army and are seeking to flip Democrat-held seats this fall. Trump's endorsements of Derrick Anderson, a former U.S. Army Special Forces Green Beret, and Laurie Buckhout, a former Army colonel, came one day after his debate against President Biden." [Fox News, <a href="#">6/29/24</a>]</p> <p><b>July 2024: Anderson Called JD Vance "The Right Choice To Help Trump Bring Our Economy Back."</b> "JD Vance is the right choice to help Trump bring our economy back, make our communities safer, secure our border, and stand up for our allies and against our enemies. My friends, family, and neighbors in my home district of <a href="#">#VA07</a> are hurting because of bad policies, and I'm ready to get them some help, which means a change in the White House." [Derrick Anderson For VA Facebook, <a href="#">7/15/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Trump And Vance And Their Allies Were Closely Tied To Project 2025</b></p> <p><b>HEADLINE: "Trump Claims Not To Know Who Is Behind Project 2025. A CNN Review Found At Least 140 People Who Worked For Him Are Involved."</b> [CNN, <a href="#">7/11/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Trump On Project 2025: "They're Going To Lay The Groundwork And Detail Plans For Exactly What Our Movement Will Do."</b></p> <p>"Trump delivered a keynote address that gestured to Heritage's forthcoming policy proposals. "They're going to lay the groundwork and detail plans for exactly what our movement will do," Trump said in the speech." [Washington Post, <a href="#">8/7/24</a>]</p> <p><b>J.D. Vance Had Close Ties To The Heritage Foundation, Which Developed Project 2025.</b> "Former President Donald Trump named Sen. JD Vance, R-Ohio, as his vice presidential candidate Monday—a pick that could complicate Trump's effort to distance himself from the controversial policy agenda known as Project 2025, as Vance has close associations with the right-wing organization behind the project. [...]Vance, however, openly has close ties with the Heritage Foundation and its founder Kevin Roberts, who told reporters Monday the organization was privately 'really rooting' for Vance to be the VP pick and praised him on X, formerly known as Twitter, on Monday as 'a man who personifies hope for our nation's future.' Vance has been a key champion of Roberts' efforts to turn the Heritage Foundation 'into the de facto institutional home of Trumpism,' Politico reported in a March piece, in which Roberts said the senator 'is absolutely going to be one of the leaders — if not the leader — of our movement.'" [Forbes, <a href="#">7/16/24</a>]</p>
--	--	---



		<p>Vance: “Most Americans Couldn’t Care Less About Project 2025. There Are Some Good Ideas In There.” [Heartland Signal, Twitter, <a href="#">7/11/24</a>]</p> <p><b><u>Project 2025 Allows Government To Monitor Pregnancies, Prevent Access To Emergency Contraception, Potentially Prosecuting Patients And Doctors For Abortions Or Even Miscarriages, And Calls For A National Abortion Ban</u></b></p> <p><b>Project 2025 Would Raise The Threat Of Criminalizing Abortion Care By Using The Government To Track Miscarriage, Stillbirths, And Abortions.</b> “It doesn’t specifically call for a national abortion ban, but abortion is one of the most-discussed topics in the plan, with proposals throughout encouraging the next president ‘to lead the nation in restoring a culture of life in America again.’ It would do this by prosecuting anyone mailing abortion pills (‘Abortion pills pose the single greatest threat to unborn children in a post-Roe world,’ the plan says). It would raise the threat of criminalizing those who provide abortion care by using the government to track miscarriage, stillbirths and abortions, and make it harder to get emergency contraceptive care covered by insurance. [Washington Post, <a href="#">7/12/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Center For American Progress: Project 2025 Proposed Targeting Local Officials Who Don’t Prosecute “Draconian Criminal Laws, Such As Abortion Bans.”</b> “The very existence of Project 2025’s proposal to target local officials who do not prosecute draconian criminal laws, such as abortion bans, will embolden right-wing state officials to remove political opponents at the local level. This will likely have a chilling effect on how prosecutors—who should be and have been shielded from political interference—do their jobs. District attorneys in states under right-wing control will face great pressure, under threat of legal action or removal from office, to prosecute according to the extreme right-wing agenda, even if they have no wish to criminalize abortion care providers. Furthermore, district attorney candidates who might otherwise win against far-right nominees may be discouraged from running for office at all. If enacted, this policy could also lead to the removal and replacement of other elected officials who defy right-wing leaders. This agenda will make it easier for a far-right administration to impose its vision of the law without any safeguards, implement revenge against political opponents, and target citizens for seeking to protect their reproductive rights and access to health care.” [Center for American Progress, <a href="#">7/16/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Project 2025 Would Exclude Some Forms Of No-Cost Coverage For Emergency Contraception.</b> “In “Project 2025: A Presidential Transition Project,” far-right extremists outline their intent to exclude some forms of emergency contraception from no-cost coverage. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) requires most private health insurance plans to provide no-cost coverage—without copayment, coinsurance, or deductible—for recommended preventive services. This benefit includes the full range of U.S. Food and Drug Administration-approved birth control methods and counseling,</p>
--	--	--



		<p>including emergency contraception. Rescinding no-cost coverage could have lifelong consequences for women nationwide. A new Center for American Progress analysis estimates that if Project 2025 were enacted, nearly 48 million women of reproductive age would lose their guaranteed no-cost access to emergency contraception.” [Center For American Progress, <a href="#">6/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Project 2025’s Section On The Department Of Health And Human Services Declared That Life Begins At Conception, Setting The Stage For National Fetal Personhood Laws That Would Ban Abortion.</b> “Project 2025’s section on the HSS reads, in part, “The Secretary should pursue a robust agenda to protect the fundamental right to life. ... From the moment of conception, every human being possesses inherent dignity and worth. ... Abortion and euthanasia are not health care.” Littered throughout the 900-page Project 2025 mandate is the repeated declaration that life begins at conception — an idea also known as fetal personhood. Fetal personhood insists that, from the moment of conception, a fertilized egg is a person, endowed with the same rights as you and I. That claim strikes at the heart of everything I’ve listed above. Laws that establish fetal personhood, laws that mandate doctors to treat fetuses even when the pregnant person’s life is at risk — in practice, those laws are abortion bans. Full stop. [MSNBC, <a href="#">7/29/24</a>]</p> <p><b><u>Project 2025 Allows Government To Monitor Pregnancies, Prevent Access To Emergency Contraception, Potentially Prosecuting Patients And Doctors For Abortions Or Even Miscarriages, And Calls For A National Abortion Ban</u></b></p> <p><b>Project 2025 Would Raise The Threat Of Criminalizing Abortion Care By Using The Government To Track Miscarriage, Stillbirths, And Abortions.</b> “It doesn’t specifically call for a national abortion ban, but abortion is one of the most-discussed topics in the plan, with proposals throughout encouraging the next president ‘to lead the nation in restoring a culture of life in America again.’ It would do this by prosecuting anyone mailing abortion pills (‘Abortion pills pose the single greatest threat to unborn children in a post-Roe world,’ the plan says). It would raise the threat of criminalizing those who provide abortion care by using the government to track miscarriage, stillbirths and abortions, and make it harder to get emergency contraceptive care covered by insurance. [Washington Post, <a href="#">7/12/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Center For American Progress: Project 2025 Proposed Targeting Local Officials Who Don’t Prosecute "Draconian Criminal Laws, Such As Abortion Bans."</b> “The very existence of Project 2025’s proposal to target local officials who do not prosecute draconian criminal laws, such as abortion bans, will embolden right-wing state officials to remove political opponents at the local level. This will likely have a chilling effect on how prosecutors—who should be and have been shielded from political interference—do their jobs. District attorneys in states under right-wing control will face great pressure,</p>
--	--	---



		<p>under threat of legal action or removal from office, to prosecute according to the extreme right-wing agenda, even if they have no wish to criminalize abortion care providers. Furthermore, district attorney candidates who might otherwise win against far-right nominees may be discouraged from running for office at all. If enacted, this policy could also lead to the removal and replacement of other elected officials who defy right-wing leaders. This agenda will make it easier for a far-right administration to impose its vision of the law without any safeguards, implement revenge against political opponents, and target citizens for seeking to protect their reproductive rights and access to health care.” [Center for American Progress, <a href="#">7/16/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Project 2025 Would Exclude Some Forms Of No-Cost Coverage For Emergency Contraception.</b> “In “<a href="#">Project 2025: A Presidential Transition Project</a>,” far-right extremists outline their intent to exclude some forms of emergency contraception from no-cost coverage. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) <a href="#">requires</a> most private health insurance plans to provide no-cost coverage—without copayment, coinsurance, or deductible—for <a href="#">recommended preventive services</a>. This benefit includes the full range of <a href="#">U.S. Food and Drug Administration-approved</a> birth control methods and counseling, including <a href="#">emergency contraception</a>. Rescinding no-cost coverage could have lifelong consequences for women nationwide. A new Center for American Progress analysis estimates that if Project 2025 were enacted, nearly 48 million women of reproductive age would lose their guaranteed no-cost access to emergency contraception.” [Center For American Progress, <a href="#">6/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Project 2025’s Section On The Department Of Health And Human Services Declared That Life Begins At Conception, Setting The Stage For National Fetal Personhood Laws That Would Ban Abortion.</b> “Project 2025’s section on the HSS reads, in part, “The Secretary should pursue a robust agenda to protect the fundamental right to life. ... From the moment of conception, every human being possesses inherent dignity and worth. ... Abortion and euthanasia are not health care.” Littered throughout the 900-page Project 2025 mandate is the repeated declaration that life begins at conception — an idea also known as fetal personhood. Fetal personhood insists that, from the moment of conception, a fertilized egg is a person, endowed with the same rights as you and I. That claim strikes at the heart of everything I’ve listed above. Laws that establish fetal personhood, laws that mandate doctors to treat fetuses even when the pregnant person’s life is at risk — in practice, those laws are abortion bans. Full stop. [MSNBC, <a href="#">7/29/24</a>]</p> <p><b><u>Abortion Bans Have Already Led To The Preventable Deaths Of Women Who Were Denied Life-Saving Care Because Doctors No Longer Could Provide The Care They Needed Until It Was Too Late.</u></b></p>
--	--	---



		<p><b>HEADLINE: “Afraid to Seek Care Amid Georgia’s Abortion Ban, She Stayed at Home and Died.”</b> [Pro Publica, <a href="#">9/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Confusing Abortion Bans That Threaten Prison Time For Doctors Caused Preventable Deaths Of Two Georgia Women.</b>          “Amna, ProPublica found at least two women in Georgia who died after being unable to get either a legal abortion or timely medical care. Official state committees examining pregnancy-related deaths concluded that both were preventable. Georgia law says a physician can go to prison for performing an abortion after six weeks of pregnancy. The law does have an exception to prevent the death of a pregnant woman, but physicians say there’s no guidance on how to interpret that. [...] The Maternal Mortality Review Committee reviewed this case and they agreed that it was preventable, and they said that the largest impact was the delay in receiving a D&amp;C procedure. They said that there was a good chance, if doctors had done it sooner, that her life could have been saved. [...] A lot of lawmakers that have passed these abortion bans, and not just Georgia’s, have promised that they have exceptions for the life of the mother or medical emergencies, but they’re written in ways that experts say are confusing and not rooted in science. They threaten prison time if a prosecutor decides that they’re not followed correctly. And doctors have told me that this type of threat, it can really change the dynamics of how they interact with patients. In fact, we have reported a lot of in-depth stories about this kind of delay in care where doctors have explained exactly how it changed the way that they were treating patients.” [PBS, <a href="#">9/18/24</a>]</p>
<p>The on-screen text appears in text bubbles. As the MAGA politicians say each policy hit, the Parrot nods along.</p> <p>Parrot gives occasion</p>	<p><b>Like repealing the thirty-five-dollar cap on insulin.</b></p>	<p><b><u>The Inflation Reduction Act Capped The Price Of Insulin At \$35, Which Not A Single Republican Voted For...</u></b></p> <p><b>The Price Of Insulin Was Capped At \$35 Under The Inflation Reduction Act.</b></p> <p>“A \$35 cap on monthly cost sharing for insulin products is expected to lower out-of-pocket costs for insulin users in Medicare Part D without low-income subsidies. In 2020, 3.3 million Medicare Part D enrollees used insulin. Among Medicare Part D insulin users who do not receive low-income subsidies, average out-of-pocket costs per prescription across all insulin products was \$54 in 2020 – over 50% more than the \$35 monthly copay cap for insulin that will begin in 2023.”          (Kaiser Family Foundation, <a href="#">1/24/23</a>)</p>





<p>al “Yes”;  “Support  !”;  “MAGA”;  “Project  2025”;  “Polly  want a  MAGA”;  “Ditto”;  in  between  squawks  to affirm  each  proof  point.</p> <p>CG:  Derrick  Anderson  n  Repeal  \$35 Cap  on  Insulin</p>		<p>“Not Even a Single Republican Voted for the Climate Bill”  (<i>Atlantic</i>, <a href="#">08/12/22</a>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>The \$35 Cap Began In 2023 And Applied To All Seniors Using Medicare.</b></li> </ul> <p>“The Inflation Reduction Act caps the cost of insulin at \$35 per month for seniors who have Medicare – including those who use insulin pumps – starting in 2023. Some seniors are already benefiting from Medicare Part D plans that offer \$35 insulin. This legislation ensures that all seniors who use insulin benefit from this out-of-pocket cost limit.” (American Diabetes Association Press Release, <a href="#">August 2022</a>)</p> <p><b><u>... Anderson Has Accepted At Least \$351,091 From At Least 62 Individual Congressional Members Republicans Who Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act. The Same Congressional Republicans Who Have Voted At Least 42 Times To Repeal The Inflation Reduction Act And Remove The \$35 Cap On Insulin.</u></b></p> <p><b>House Congressional Republicans have voted at least 42 times to repeal the Inflation Reduction Act.</b> “Republicans tried to repeal this climate bill 42 times. Meanwhile, it has brought \$286 billion to their districts [...] When the Inflation Reduction Act was passed into law two years ago today, not a single Republican voted for the legislation. But since its rollout, many of the districts represented by those politicians have seen the biggest benefits from the landmark climate bill.” (<i>Fast Company</i>, <a href="#">08/16/24</a>)</p> <p><b>2023-2024: Anderson Accepted At Least \$351,091 From Republicans Who Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act.</b> According to the Federal Election Commission, Anderson accepted \$351,091 from donors who voted against the Inflation Reduction Act. [FEC Receipts Search, accessed <a href="#">7/27/24</a>; HR 5376, <a href="#">Vote #420</a>, 8/12/22; CQ, <a href="#">8/12/22</a>]</p> <p><b>August 2022: 62 Of Anderson’s Donors Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act.</b> In August 2022, Schweikert voted</p>
--	--	---



		<p>against: “motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill comprising a package of climate, tax and health care provisions. Among drug pricing provisions, the bill would require the Health and Human Services Department to negotiate a "maximum fair price" with drug manufacturers for certain Medicare-eligible, brand-name drugs that do not have generic competition; cap cost-sharing for insulin products covered under Medicare at \$35 a month; and require single-source drug manufacturers to provide rebates to HHS for the price of drugs under Medicare Parts B and D for which price increases outpace inflation. For Medicare Part D, it would cap the annual out-of-pocket limit at \$2,000. It would extend through 2025 tax subsidies toward Affordable Care Act marketplace insurance premiums for individuals under a certain income level. The bill would provide for approximately \$270 billion in new or expanded tax credits to incentivize actions by businesses and individuals to mitigate climate change, including production credits for electricity produced by renewable and nuclear facilities; investment tax credits for certain renewable energy equipment and facilities; and credits for advanced energy manufacturing projects, including in areas where a coal mine or power plant has closed. To incentivize emission reduction and clean fuel production, it would create or extend tax credits for carbon oxide sequestration facilities; biodiesel, renewable diesel and alternative fuels; and clean hydrogen facilities. For most of its corporate tax credits, it would add prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements and establish bonus credits for using domestic materials in facility construction. It would also expand individual tax credits for residential energy efficiency improvements and renewable energy expenses; increase credits for new energy efficient homes; and create credits for the purchase of used electric vehicles by individuals under a certain income level. It would reinstate the Superfund tax on crude oil at a rate of 16.4 cents per barrel. Among other tax provisions, the bill would establish a 15 percent alternative minimum tax for corporations with a book income of at least \$1 million annually and institute a 1 percent excise tax on corporate stock buybacks. It would authorize \$79.3 billion for IRS operations, including enforcement activities and systems</p>
--	--	--





		<p>modernization. The bill would provide funding for various activities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote energy-efficient technologies and mitigate the impacts of climate change, including \$27 billion for grants to state, local and nonprofit entities for greenhouse gas emission reduction activities; \$9.7 billion for zero-emission or carbon capture rural electric systems; \$5 billion for loan guarantees to replace or reduce emissions of energy infrastructure; \$3 billion for zero-emission vehicles for the Postal Service; and \$1.6 billion for methane emissions reduction and mitigation. It would provide \$9 billion for residential energy efficiency improvement rebates; \$3 billion for new EPA environmental and climate justice block grants for community-led activities to address pollution, emission reduction, climate resiliency and public engagement; and \$3 billion for Federal Highway Administration grants for projects that address surface transportation facilities that disconnect or negatively impact communities. It would provide \$4 billion for drought mitigation in Western states; \$2.15 billion for hazardous fuel reduction and restoration projects; and \$1 billion to improve energy and water efficiency or climate resilience of affordable housing. It would require the Interior Department to accept bids for certain canceled oil and gas leases on the outer continental shelf. It would authorize wind lease sales adjacent to U.S. territories but prohibit new wind or solar development rights on federal lands for 10 years unless the department completes certain oil or gas lease sales.” The bill passed 220 to 207. [FEC Receipts Search, , accessed <a href="#">7/27/24</a>; HR 5376, <a href="#">Vote #420</a>, 8/12/22; CQ, <a href="#">8/12/22</a>]</p> <p><b><u>Project 2025 Would Strip Healthcare Protections From More Than 1 Point 3 Million Virginians With Pre-Existing Conditions...</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Project 2025 Would Repeal Consumer Protections From The Affordable Care Act.</u></b> “Project 2025 calls for removing the historic consumer protection provisions of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) for nonsubsidized marketplace plans. Before the ACA, insurers could deny or charge people with preexisting conditions—as many as 1 in 2 Americans—more for coverage, set stringent annual and lifetime coverage limits, and even deny or increase the costs of coverage for women based on their gender. Under Project 2025, these protections would be stripped away for nonsubsidized ACA marketplace plans—in other words, for people who buy ACA marketplace insurance without federal subsidies. Those plans would also have no limits set on how much of people’s premiums have to be spent on</p>
--	--	---



		<p>actual health care, enabling insurers to once again reap profits at the expense of patients.” [Center For American Progress, <a href="#">9/4/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Trump Said “Terminate It” And “We Should Never Give Up” Referring to Efforts To Repeal The Affordable Care Act.</b> “Former President Donald Trump said he is “seriously looking at alternatives” to the Affordable Care Act if he returns to the White House, reigniting his longstanding crusade against former President Barack Obama’s signature health-care law. Trump’s failed effort in 2017 to repeal the health-care law was blasted at the time over the prospect of millions of Americans losing their health insurance. “We had a couple of Republican Senators who campaigned for 6 years against it, and then raised their hands not to terminate it,” he wrote in reference to the late Senator John McCain’s successful effort to block the repeal of Obamacare. “It was a low point for the Republican Party, but we should never give up!” Now, a year out from the election, Trump stares down the possibility of a return to power with a more ambitious agenda and no McCain to block his effort.” [The Daily Beast, <a href="#">11/25/23</a>]</p> <p><b>Patients With Pre Existing Conditions Would Face The Risk Of Being Discriminated Against And See Their Premiums Rise Under ACA Repeal.</b> “The insurer would say, ‘Well, because you have three people with cancer, we are going to raise your premium dramatically,’ and therefore make it hard for the small employer to continue to offer coverage to its workers because the coverage is simply unaffordable,” recalled Edwin Park, a research professor at Georgetown University’s McCourt School of Public Policy who researches public health insurance markets. Park predicted that many businesses could also gradually find themselves priced out of their policies. [...] “For those firms with older, less healthy workers than other small employers, they would see their premiums rise,” he told KFF Health News. Moreover, Park said, anytime people lost work or switched jobs, they’d risk losing their insurance, reverting to the old days of job lock. “In any given year, the number [of people affected] will be much smaller than the 100 million, but all of those 100 million would be at risk of being discriminated against because of their preexisting condition,” Park said.” [Kaiser Family Foundation, <a href="#">6/13/24</a>]</p> <p><b>1.3 Million Virginian’s With Pre-Existing Conditions Could Lose Protections Under ACA Repeal.</b> “At least 1.3 million people in Virginia with pre-existing health conditions could lose critical protections. Before the ACA, at least 1.3 million Virginians with pre-existing health conditions could have been denied coverage or charged more if they tried to buy individual market health insurance. Republican repeal proposals either eliminate these protections outright or find other ways to gut them.” [WhiteHouse.gov, <a href="#">3/23</a>]</p> <p><b><u>... Raise Costs For Working Families...</u></b></p>
--	--	---



		<p><b>Project 2025 Would Allow Corporations To Stop Paying Workers Overtime.</b> “Project 2025’s policies to increase taxes on the middle class, allow corporations to stop paying workers overtime, implement a national abortion ban, and raise the retirement age for Social Security are wildly unpopular.” [Center For American Progress report, <a href="#">7/8/24</a>]</p> <p><b><u>... Raise Costs For Seniors By Repealing The Thirty-Five Dollar Price Cap On Insulin...</u></b></p> <p><b>Center For American Process: “Project 2025 Prescription Drug Plan Would Increase Costs for as Many as 18.5 Million Seniors and Others With Medicare.”</b> “Project 2025 Prescription Drug Plan Would Increase Costs for as Many as 18.5 Million Seniors and Others With Medicare [...]The Heritage Foundation has outlined a sweeping, far-right policy vision to put power—and profits—back in pharmaceutical companies’ hands. Project 2025’s “Mandate for Leadership: A Conservative Promise” calls for repealing the Inflation Reduction Act. Similarly, the Republican Study Committee’s “Fiscal Sanity to Save America” fiscal year 2025 budget proposal calls for a full repeal of the law. If Project 2025 is implemented, millions of Medicare enrollees will see their out-of-pocket costs for prescription drugs rise, reversing much-needed savings generated by the Inflation Reduction Act’s Medicare Part D reforms and other provisions.” [Center For American Progress report, <a href="#">7/13/24</a>]</p> <p><b>American Federation Of State, County &amp; Municipal Employees: Project 2025 Would Undo Provisions In The Inflation Reduction Act That Capped Insulin At \$35 Per Month.</b> “The Trump agenda would also repeal part of the Inflation Reduction Act that allows the government to negotiate directly with pharmaceutical companies to lower the costs of prescription drugs and improve access to lifesaving medication for seniors receiving Medicare. The price of insulin, which has decreased for everyone after it was capped at \$35 per month for Medicare recipients, could very well surge again.” [American Federation of State, County &amp; Municipal Employees report, <a href="#">7/25/24</a>]</p> <p><b>AFL-CIO: Project 2025 Would Repeal Medicare’s Ability To Negotiate Directly With Drug Companies To Improve Access And Lower Costs Of Some Medicare Part B And Part D Prescription Drugs.</b> “In 2022, President Biden signed the Inflation Reduction Act into law, which included a provision that finally allowed Medicare to negotiate directly with drug companies to improve access and lower costs of some Medicare Part B and Part D prescription drugs. The Trump Project 2025 states that ‘this “negotiation” program should be repealed.’” [AFL-CIO report, accessed <a href="#">7/30/24</a>]</p>
--	--	---



<p>CG: Derrick Anderson n Strip Protection ns for Pre-Existi ng Conditio ns</p>	<p><b>Stripping healthcare protections for people with pre-existing conditions.</b></p>	<p><b><u>Project 2025 Would Strip Healthcare Protections From More Than 1 Point 3 Million Virginians With Pre-Existing Conditions...</u></b></p> <p><b>Project 2025 Would Repeal Consumer Protections From The Affordable Care Act.</b> “Project 2025 calls for removing the historic consumer protection provisions of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) for nonsubsidized marketplace plans. Before the ACA, insurers could deny or charge people with preexisting conditions—as many as 1 in 2 Americans—more for coverage, set stringent annual and lifetime coverage limits, and even deny or increase the costs of coverage for women based on their gender. Under Project 2025, these protections would be stripped away for nonsubsidized ACA marketplace plans—in other words, for people who buy ACA marketplace insurance without federal subsidies. Those plans would also have no limits set on how much of people’s premiums have to be spent on actual health care, enabling insurers to once again reap profits at the expense of patients.” [Center For American Progress, <a href="#">9/4/24</a>]</p> <p><b>Trump Said “Terminate It” And “We Should Never Give Up” Referring to Efforts To Repeal The Affordable Care Act.</b> “Former President Donald Trump said he is “seriously looking at alternatives” to the Affordable Care Act if he returns to the White House, reigniting his longstanding crusade against former President Barack Obama’s signature health-care law. Trump’s failed effort in 2017 to repeal the health-care law was blasted at the time over the prospect of millions of Americans losing their health insurance. “We had a couple of Republican Senators who campaigned for 6 years against it, and then raised their hands not to terminate it,” he wrote in reference to the late Senator John McCain’s successful effort to block the repeal of Obamacare. “It was a low point for the Republican Party, but we should never give up!” Now, a year out from the election, Trump stares down the possibility of a return to power with a more ambitious agenda and no McCain to block his effort.” [The Daily Beast, <a href="#">11/25/23</a>]</p> <p><b>Patients With Pre Existing Conditions Would Face The Risk Of Being Discriminated Against And See Their Premiums Rise Under ACA Repeal.</b> “The insurer would say, ‘Well, because you have three people with cancer, we are going to raise your premium dramatically,’ and therefore make it hard for the small employer to continue to offer coverage to its workers because the coverage is simply unaffordable,” recalled Edwin Park, a research professor at Georgetown University’s McCourt School of Public Policy who researches public health insurance markets. Park predicted that many businesses could also gradually find themselves priced out of their policies. [...] “For those firms with older, less healthy workers than other small employers, they would see their premiums rise,” he told KFF Health News. Moreover, Park said, anytime people lost work or switched jobs, they’d risk losing their insurance, reverting to the old days of job lock. “In any given year, the number [of people affected]</p>
---	---	--



		<p>will be much smaller than the 100 million, but all of those 100 million would be at risk of being discriminated against because of their preexisting condition,” Park said.” [Kaiser Family Foundation, <a href="#">6/13/24</a>]</p> <p><b>1.3 Million Virginian’s With Pre-Existing Conditions Could Lose Protections Under ACA Repeal.</b> “At least 1.3 million people in Virginia with pre-existing health conditions could lose critical protections. Before the ACA, at least 1.3 million Virginians with pre-existing health conditions could have been denied coverage or charged more if they tried to buy individual market health insurance. Republican repeal proposals either eliminate these protections outright or find other ways to gut them.” [WhiteHouse.gov, <a href="#">3/23</a>]</p>
<p>CG: Let Corporations Pollute Water &amp; Stop Paying Overtime</p>	<p><b>And letting corporations pollute our water and stop paying overtime.</b></p>	<p><b><u>The Inflation Reduction Act Is Expected To Slash U.S. Carbon Emissions By 40% This Decade, Which Not A Single Republican Voted For...</u></b></p> <p><b>Vice President Harris’ Inflation Reduction Act Is Expected To Slash U.S. Carbon Emissions By 40% This Decade.</b> “The Biden administration this year signed a historic climate and tax deal that will funnel billions of dollars into programs designed to speed the country’s clean energy transition and battle climate change. [...] The bill, which President Joe Biden signed into law in August, is the most aggressive climate investment ever taken by Congress and is expected to slash the country’s planet-warming carbon emissions by about 40% this decade and move the country toward a net-zero economy by 2050.” [CNBC, <a href="#">12/30/22</a>]</p> <p><b>“Not Even a Single Republican Voted for the Climate Bill.”</b>  “Not Even a Single Republican Voted for the Climate Bill”  <i>(Atlantic, <a href="#">08/12/22</a>)</i></p> <p><b><u>... Anderson Has Accepted At Least \$351,091 From At Least 62 Individual Congressional Members Republicans Who Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act, The Same Congressional Republicans Who Have Voted At Least 42 Times To Repeal The Inflation Reduction Act And Allow Corporate Pollution To Continue To Pollute Our Air And Water.</u></b></p> <p><b>House Congressional Republicans have voted at least 42 times to repeal the Inflation Reduction Act.</b> “Republicans</p>



		<p>tried to repeal this climate bill 42 times. Meanwhile, it has brought \$286 billion to their districts [...] When the Inflation Reduction Act was passed into law two years ago today, not a single Republican voted for the legislation. But since its rollout, many of the districts represented by those politicians have seen the biggest benefits from the landmark climate bill.” (<i>Fast Company</i>, <a href="#">08/16/24</a>)</p> <p><b>2023-2024: Anderson Accepted At Least \$351,091 From Republicans Who Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act.</b> According to the Federal Election Commission, Anderson accepted \$351,091 from donors who voted against the Inflation Reduction Act. [FEC Receipts Search, accessed <a href="#">7/27/24</a>; HR 5376, <a href="#">Vote #420</a>, 8/12/22; CQ, <a href="#">8/12/22</a>]</p> <p><b>August 2022: 62 Of Anderson’s Donors Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act.</b> In August 2022, Schweikert voted against: “motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the bill comprising a package of climate, tax and health care provisions. Among drug pricing provisions, the bill would require the Health and Human Services Department to negotiate a "maximum fair price" with drug manufacturers for certain Medicare-eligible, brand-name drugs that do not have generic competition; cap cost-sharing for insulin products covered under Medicare at \$35 a month; and require single-source drug manufacturers to provide rebates to HHS for the price of drugs under Medicare Parts B and D for which price increases outpace inflation. For Medicare Part D, it would cap the annual out-of-pocket limit at \$2,000. It would extend through 2025 tax subsidies toward Affordable Care Act marketplace insurance premiums for individuals under a certain income level. The bill would provide for approximately \$270 billion in new or expanded tax credits to incentivize actions by businesses and individuals to mitigate climate change, including production credits for electricity produced by renewable and nuclear facilities; investment tax credits for certain renewable energy equipment and facilities; and credits for advanced energy manufacturing projects, including in areas where a coal mine or power plant has closed. To incentivize emission reduction and clean fuel production, it</p>
--	--	---





		<p>would create or extend tax credits for carbon oxide sequestration facilities; biodiesel, renewable diesel and alternative fuels; and clean hydrogen facilities. For most of its corporate tax credits, it would add prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements and establish bonus credits for using domestic materials in facility construction. It would also expand individual tax credits for residential energy efficiency improvements and renewable energy expenses; increase credits for new energy efficient homes; and create credits for the purchase of used electric vehicles by individuals under a certain income level. It would reinstate the Superfund tax on crude oil at a rate of 16.4 cents per barrel. Among other tax provisions, the bill would establish a 15 percent alternative minimum tax for corporations with a book income of at least \$1 million annually and institute a 1 percent excise tax on corporate stock buybacks. It would authorize \$79.3 billion for IRS operations, including enforcement activities and systems modernization. The bill would provide funding for various activities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote energy-efficient technologies and mitigate the impacts of climate change, including \$27 billion for grants to state, local and nonprofit entities for greenhouse gas emission reduction activities; \$9.7 billion for zero-emission or carbon capture rural electric systems; \$5 billion for loan guarantees to replace or reduce emissions of energy infrastructure; \$3 billion for zero-emission vehicles for the Postal Service; and \$1.6 billion for methane emissions reduction and mitigation. It would provide \$9 billion for residential energy efficiency improvement rebates; \$3 billion for new EPA environmental and climate justice block grants for community-led activities to address pollution, emission reduction, climate resiliency and public engagement; and \$3 billion for Federal Highway Administration grants for projects that address surface transportation facilities that disconnect or negatively impact communities. It would provide \$4 billion for drought mitigation in Western states; \$2.15 billion for hazardous fuel reduction and restoration projects; and \$1 billion to improve energy and water efficiency or climate resilience of affordable housing. It would require the Interior Department to accept bids for certain canceled oil and gas leases on the outer continental shelf. It</p>
--	--	---




		<p>would authorize wind lease sales adjacent to U.S. territories but prohibit new wind or solar development rights on federal lands for 10 years unless the department completes certain oil or gas lease sales.” The bill passed 220 to 207. [FEC Receipts Search, , accessed <a href="#">7/27/24</a>; HR 5376, <a href="#">Vote #420</a>, 8/12/22; CQ, <a href="#">8/12/22</a>]</p> <p><b>A “key tent” of Project 2025 “is dismantling and disempowering federal agencies,” to “shift agencies’ focus from protecting our health and environment” to “putting companies before public health” and “aims to strip our waters of protections from polluters.” The “health of millions of Americans” could be in danger under Project 2025 and Trump, as they plan to “likely shred the rules” that the EPA developed around “groundbreaking drinking water limits for highly toxic PFAS compounds,” designating “several of the ‘forever chemicals’ as hazardous substances, a move that will force industry to clean up its pollution.”</b></p> <p>“One key tenet of Project 2025 is dismantling and disempowering federal agencies. Its goal is to shift agencies’ focus from protecting our health and environment to paving more pathways for unchecked corporate abuse. Notably, the plan recommends gutting the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). On day one, it would downsize staff at a time when the agency is already severely understaffed and under-resourced. This has led to, for example, absurdly long reviews of chemicals that threaten our water, air, and health. In other cases, the EPA has rubber-stamped potentially dangerous chemicals to speed up corporations’ path to profits. Project 2025 wants this trend to continue, as it advocates for speeding up reviews ‘to ensure the competitiveness of U.S. manufacturers’ — putting companies before public health. It also aims to strip our waters of protections from polluters. Project 2025 would exclude much of our country’s wetlands and temporary waters from protection and narrow the kinds of water pollution regulated under the Clean Water Act. As communities across the country suffer pollution from factory farms and industrial plants, we need more water protections, not fewer. Moreover, Project 2025 would have a new administration pause and revisit Biden’s recent Lead and Copper Rule Improvement and PFAS regulations, which are vital first steps in responding to our country’s lead-in-water and PFAS contamination crises. This would put the health of millions of people at continued risk. It specifically targets a recent Biden rule that designates two PFAS as ‘hazardous substances’ under CERCLA, jeopardizing efforts to force polluters to clean up their toxic mess. Project 2025 could allow corporations to get away with poisoning our water, and leave taxpayers to foot the bill.” (Food &amp; Water Watch, <a href="#">07/24/24</a>)</p>
--	--	---





		<p>“America's Drinking Water Could Radically Change Under Trump” (<i>Newsweek</i>, <a href="#">06/23/24</a>)</p> <p>“A second Donald Trump presidency would represent a serious threat to dealing with the toxic impact of PFAS ‘forever chemicals’, as well as other toxins, and could be a danger to the health of millions of Americans, experts and environmental campaigners warn. For example, over the last year, the Environmental Protection Agency developed groundbreaking drinking water limits for highly toxic PFAS compounds, and designated several of the ‘forever chemicals’ as hazardous substances, a move that will force industry to clean up its pollution. The steps represent a major win for the water quality and taxpayers, but a new Trump administration would likely shred the rules. Statements from former Trump EPA officials, the far-right Project 2025 plan, and the Trump-allied American Chemistry Council (ACC) target those rules, but also suggest industry and a second administration’s aims are much deeper. They are proposing administrative changes designed to cripple the EPA’s ability to protect public health from chemicals like PFAS.” (<i>Guardian</i>, <a href="#">07/28/24</a>)</p> <p><b><u>... Raise Costs For Working Families By Allowing Corporations to Stop Paying Overtime</u></b></p> <p><b>Project 2025 Would Allow Corporations To Stop Paying Workers Overtime.</b> “Project 2025’s policies to increase taxes on the middle class, allow corporations to stop paying workers overtime, implement a national abortion ban, and raise the retirement age for Social Security are wildly unpopular.” [Center For American Progress report, <a href="#">7/8/24</a>]</p>
<p>CG: Stop MAGA Parrot Derrick Anderson</p>	<p><b>We can’t have Derrick, the MAGA parrot, in Congress.</b></p>	<p><b><u>Anderson Identifies As A Trump Conservative</u></b></p> <p><b>Anderson’s TV Ad Described Himself As A “Trump Conservative.”</b> “She’s hardly alone. Two other Republicans in the field, state Sen. Bryce Reeves and Army veteran Derrick Anderson, a self-described ‘Trump conservative,’ have made the former president a focus of recent TV ads.” [NPR, <a href="#">6/21/22</a>]</p> <p><b>Anderson: “It’s Time For Strong Leadership - Donald Trump Is That Leader. He’s The Guy To Make The Hard, Necessary Changes To Make Our Country Better.”</b> “Congratulations to Donald Trump on winning the Virginia primary and so many others. It’s clear that Joe Biden isn’t fit to take on all the challenges America faces. In fact, his disastrous policies on the border, economy, and crime have made our lives much worse. It’s time for strong leadership - Donald Trump is that leader. He’s the guy to make the hard, necessary changes to make our country better.” [Derrick Anderson on Twitter, <a href="#">3/5/24</a>]</p>



		<p><b>June 2024: Mike Johnson Urged Voters In Virginia’s 7th Congressional District To Support Anderson In The Republican Primary, Saying That “We Need Derrick Anderson To Be Sent To Congress.”</b> “NEW VIDEO: @MikeJohnson asks #VA07 patriots to get out and Vote Anderson on Tuesday! I appreciate Speaker Johnson’s support and look forward to working with him to flip this critical seat.” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, <a href="#">6/17/24</a>]</p> <p></p> <p>[Derrick Anderson, Twitter, <a href="#">6/17/24</a>]</p> <p><b>VIDEO: Speaker Mike Johnson: “We Need Derrick Anderson To Be Sent To Congress.”</b> JOHNSON: “Hi, Speaker Mike Johnson here. I just want to weigh in on Virginia’s 7 th District race. We need Derrick Anderson to be sent to Congress. We’ve learned something over the last four years – We need more governing conservatives here. We need thoughtful people who are principled and will come here and do the work to save this country. And Derek is exactly that kind of guy. You know, he’s the first person in his family to graduate from college – he and I have that in common – but he was also a Special Forces Green Beret. He also clerked for two federal judges, and he worked in the Trump administration to stop the flow of illegal fentanyl coming over the southern border. He’s exactly the kind of thoughtful leader that will represent Virginia well in Congress. We certainly hope that you’ll get behind him. Thanks for doing that. Donate any amount you can. I promise you, Derek and I will be great stewards of that. We, together, are going to save this country. God bless you.” [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, <a href="#">6/17/24</a>] (Audio)</p> <p><b>December 2023: Speaker Johnson Endorsed Anderson’s Campaign For Virginia’s 7th Congressional District.</b> “Thank you to @SpeakerJohnson for endorsing our campaign for Congress. Join</p>
--	--	--



		<p>our #VA07 team at <a href="http://DerrickAnderson.com">http://DerrickAnderson.com</a>!" [Derrick Anderson, Twitter, <a href="#">12/22/23</a>]</p> <p><b>HEADLINE: "Scoop: Trump And Mike Johnson Plot 2025 Plans"</b> [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>May 2024: Donald Trump And Speaker Mike Johnson Were Speaking At Length About Legislative Plans For 2025.</b> "Donald Trump and Mike Johnson are talking at length about plans for next year, a strong sign that the former president sees the speaker as more than an interim caretaker." [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>May 2024: Johnson Was Planning A "Sweeping Ideological Legislative Drive" Aimed At Making Donald Trump The Most Consequential President Ever.</b> "Mike Johnson, the speaker of the US House of Representatives, is planning a sweeping ideological legislative drive that aims to make Donald Trump 'the most consequential president of the modern era' if the Republicans win power in November. A far-reaching bill containing a range of policy priorities at once – including tax cuts worth trillions, border security and rolling back Obamacare – is being prepared to avoid the mistakes the GOP believed happened early in Trump's first term, when Johnson says the party wasted time because its victory over Hillary Clinton took it by surprise." [Axios, <a href="#">5/18/24</a>]</p> <p><b>2023-2024: Anderson Accepted At Least \$329,591 From 58 Republican House Members, Including Speaker Mike Johnson, Who Cosponsored The Life At Conception Act.</b> [FEC Receipts Search, accessed <a href="#">7/27/24</a>; H.R. 431, Introduced <a href="#">1/20/23</a>; H.R. 1011, Introduced <a href="#">2/11/21</a>; H.R. 616, Introduced <a href="#">1/16/19</a>; H.R. 681, Introduced <a href="#">1/24/17</a>; H.R. 816, Introduced <a href="#">2/9/15</a>; H.R. 1091, Introduced <a href="#">4/8/13</a>; H.R. 374, Introduced <a href="#">1/20/11</a>]</p>
--	--	---



Derrick Anderson Campaign Contributions From Life At Conception Act Cosponsors													
CD	Member of Congress	Total # Cospon	118 <sup>th</sup> Congre	117 <sup>th</sup> Congre	116 <sup>th</sup> Congre	115 <sup>th</sup> Congre	114 <sup>th</sup> Congre	113 <sup>th</sup> Congre	112 <sup>th</sup> Congre	Contributions			
										Campaign	LPAC	JFC	TOTAL
LA-04	Mike Johnson	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	\$121,310	\$126,310
LA-01	Steve Scalise	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	\$2,000	\$10,000	\$14,656	\$26,656
OH-05	Bob Latta	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	\$5,000	--	\$7,000
TX-36	Brian Babin	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	\$3,300	--	\$5,300
IA-02	Ashley Hinson	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	\$1,000	--	\$5,000
TX-11	August Pfluger	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
UT-01	Blake Moore	1	N	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
IL-16	Darin LaHood	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
NC-10	Patrick McHenry	4	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
NC-09	Richard Hudson	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
TX-33	Roger Williams	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
TX-13	Ronny Jackson	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
OK-04	Tom Cole	6	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
MN-06	Tom Emmer	4	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$5,000	--	\$5,000
MO-03	Blaine Luetkemeyer	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	--	\$4,500	--	\$4,500
NE-02	Don Bacon	3	N	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$4,000	--	\$4,000
KS-02	Jake LaTurner	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
TX-19	Jodey Arrington	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
TX-17	Pete Sessions	5	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
GA-12	Rick Allen	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
KS-04	Ron Estes	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
MI-05	Tim Walberg	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
KY-02	Brett Guthrie	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,500	\$2,000	--	\$3,500
GA-01	Buddy Carter	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	\$1,500	--	\$3,500
LA-06	Garret Graves	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$1,000	\$2,500	--	\$3,500
CA-45	Michelle Steel	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$3,500	--	\$3,500
MI-09	Lisa McClain	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,300	--	--	\$3,300
ND-AL	Kelly Armstrong	2	N	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,125	--	--	\$3,125
WV-01	Carol Miller	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000
TN-08	David Kustoff	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000



AZ-08	Debbie Lesko	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,000	--	--	\$3,000
PA-14	Guy Reschenthaler	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$3,000	--	\$3,000
WA-05	Cathy McMorris Rodgers	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
AL-06	Gary Palmer	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
PA-11	Lloyd Smucker	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
FL-06	Michael Waltz	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,500		--	\$2,500
NC-05	Virginia Foxx	2	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	--	\$2,500	--	\$2,500
GA-08	Austin Scott	6	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
FL-21	Brian Mast	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
NC-07	David Rouzer	5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	\$4,000	--	--	\$4,000
PA-15	Glenn Thompson	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
NC-03	Gregory F. Murphy	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
FL-12	Gus Bilirakis	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
PA-13	John Joyce	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
IA-01	Mariannette Miller-Meeks	1	N	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
KY-06	Andy Barr	5	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$1,500	--	\$1,500
MO-02	Ann Wagner	4	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	--	\$1,500	--	\$1,500
TX-24	Beth Van Duyne	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
IN-08	Larry Bucshon	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
MN-08	Peter Stauber	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
IN-02	Rudy Yakym	1	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	\$1,000	--	\$1,000
MO-06	Sam Graves	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000
UT-04	Burgess Owens	2	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,400	--	--	\$1,400
OH-04	Jim Jordan	6	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
TX-06	Jake Ellzey	1	Y	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,000	--	--	\$2,000
KY-05	Hal Rogers	4	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000
FL-06	Michael Waltz	3	Y	Y	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,500	--	--	\$1,500
TX-01	Nathaniel Moran	1	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,000	--	--	\$1,000

[FEC Receipts Search, accessed [7/27/24](#)]

**Trump Endorsed Anderson And Anderson Called JD Vance The Right Choice**

**Anderson’s TV Ad Described Himself As A “Trump Conservative.”**

“She’s hardly alone. Two other Republicans in the field, state Sen. Bryce Reeves and Army veteran Derrick Anderson, a self-described “Trump conservative,” have made the former president a focus of recent TV ads.” [NPR, [6/21/22](#)]

**Anderson: “It’s Time For Strong Leadership - Donald Trump Is That Leader. He’s The Guy To Make The Hard, Necessary Changes To Make Our Country Better.”**

“Congratulations to Donald Trump on winning the Virginia primary and so many others. It’s clear that Joe Biden isn’t fit to take on all the challenges America faces. In fact, his disastrous policies on the border, economy, and crime have made our lives much worse. It’s time for strong leadership - Donald



		<p>Trump is that leader. He's the guy to make the hard, necessary changes to make our country better." [Derrick Anderson on Twitter, <a href="#">3/5/24</a>]</p> <p><b>June 2024: Trump Endorsed Anderson.</b> "Former President Trump on Friday endorsed two Republican House candidates, both of whom served in the U.S. Army and are seeking to flip Democrat-held seats this fall. Trump's endorsements of Derrick Anderson, a former U.S. Army Special Forces Green Beret, and Laurie Buckhout, a former Army colonel, came one day after his debate against President Biden." [Fox News, <a href="#">6/29/24</a>]</p> <p><b>July 2024: Anderson Called JD Vance "The Right Choice To Help Trump Bring Our Economy Back."</b> "<a href="#">JD Vance</a> is the right choice to help Trump bring our economy back, make our communities safer, secure our border, and stand up for our allies and against our enemies. My friends, family, and neighbors in my home district of <a href="#">#VA07</a> are hurting because of bad policies, and I'm ready to get them some help, which means a change in the White House." [Derrick Anderson For VA Facebook, <a href="#">7/15/24</a>]</p>
<p><b>PAID FOR BY LCV Victory Fund. WWW.LCVVICTORYFUND.ORG. NOT AUTHORIZED BY ANY CANDIDATE OR CANDIDATE'S COMMITTEE. LCV VICTORY FUND IS RESPONSIBLE FOR</b></p>	<p>For LCV Version: LCV Victory Fund is responsible for the content of this advertising.</p>	



<p><b>THE CONTEN T OF THIS ADVERT ISING.</b></p>		
<p>Ad appears to end, then one last cut to a parrot with Derrick Anderson.</p>	<p>[Parrot Squawk] <b>MAGA!</b></p>	